EXHIBIT 89

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                         EASTERN DIVISION
 3
                                     MDL No. 2804
     IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION
 4
    OPIATE LITIGATION
                                  Case No. 17-MD-2804
 5
    This Document Relates to:
                                  Hon. Dan A. Polster
 6
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES
 7
 8
                     Monday, January 7, 2019
 9
10
             HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11
                      CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
14
              Videotaped deposition of CATHERINE JACKSON,
         held at Foley & Lardner LLP, One Biscayne Tower, 2
         Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 1900, Miami, Florida,
15
         commencing at 9:27 a.m., on the above date,
         before Susan D. Wasilewski, Registered
16
         Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
17
         Reporter, Certified Realtime Captioner.
18
19
20
21
22
23
                    GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
24
               877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                         deps@golkow.com
25
```

Page 22

- 1 opioids there was appropriate use and disposal and
- weaning and there is many issues related with
- ³ opioids, and so we were responsible to make sure
- 4 that we were doing our part to educate. Our role
- 5 was, in my opinion, down the chain and small, but
- whatever we could do to give back to the community
- was an important piece of what I felt was our
- 8 responsibility.

9

- Q. And why would you describe your role as
- 10 "down the chain and small?"
- 11 A. Because we -- many people don't utilize --
- 12 manufacturers sources of their information, so even
- though we can put out information, many -- many
- 14 groups won't even use it because it's got the name
- 15 of a company.
- When you look at the fact that we were --
- that we are a manufacturer, it takes so many more
- 18 steps to get to the patient, so I -- when I say
- 19 small, I mean it's -- unfortunately we don't have
- 20 the voice that -- that would allow us to make -- do
- 21 a lot of work in education and awareness and make a
- 22 huge impact. So what we do is we do -- we know that
- 23 there is multifaceted stakeholders involved in any
- issue and we're one of them. So we do what we can
- and I feel we should and then everybody else does it

- 1 they need to be weaned, different drugs require
- different ways of stopping. Some you can just stop
- 3 but not -- especially not opioids.
 - And then also safe disposal, because we know
- 5 that there are -- that improper disposal, drugs can
- 6 lead to diversion.
 - Q. Okay. So flipping to the section of the
- 8 slide deck that's the Care Alliance presentation
- 9 that you would give, this here, as you pointed out,
- it says managed care team presentation. So do I
- 11 understand that correctly that it's an internal
- 12 Mallinckrodt presentation to people who are working
- on managed care accounts?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Exactly.
- Q. And what is the CARES Alliance?
- A. So the CARES Alliance was a -- the
- 19 Mallinckrodt had started CARES Alliance in 2010 as
- their efforts on risk evaluation and mitigation, and
- so there was no official risk of the REMS program
- prior to that, which are when Exalgo, which is one
- of their drugs that was a long-acting pain
- 4 medication was made, and so the thought at the time

Page 25

was that we really need to have a risk evaluation

Page 23

2

- but we're not the sole source of information.
- Q. Okay. And what are some of those things
- 3 that you said "you do what we can and what you feel
- 4 you should?"
- 5 A. Uh-huh, sure.
- 6 Q. What are some of those things?
- 7 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 8 A. Patient education, caregiver education,
- 9 healthcare provider education, and patient education
- $10\ \ \,$ to make sure that they understand whatever disease
- state, with the course of the disease state, where
- 12 treatments work in the disease state, how to discuss
- to their physicians about options when they are
- getting into trouble with their treatments, when
- 15 they are no longer working.

16

For caregivers, it's to help them with resources to give them support, because that is --

resources to give them support, because that is
 for many patients, caregivers play a huge role.

- For the healthcare provider, it's ensuring
- that the products that we manufacture are used in an
 appropriate way with the appropriate patient. They
- are dosed appropriately, and then also at the end,
- 23 that when there is -- when everything -- when the
- dose -- when the patient is no longer using those
- medications, are they appropriately taken off, like

- mitigation strategy that we share.
 - And so that was -- that's CARES Alliance.
- Q. Okay. How does -- so is that particular to
- 4 Exalgo or what's the connection between CARES
- 5 Alliance and Exalgo?
- 6 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- A. Exalgo is a drug that was manufactured by
- 8 the Mallinckrodt section of Covidien, because that
- 9 was the thing, and so it worked -- it was in the
- 10 disease state of chronic pain and cancer pain, and
- so it's really about disease state. The drugs that
- we manufacture, lead us into the disease states that
- we work in, if that makes sense, so whatever the FDA
- 14 approves for the disease state is the areas that we
- will focus on for advocacy. We have to stay -- we
- have to really stay in our lanes on disease state
- because we -- we have -- I often worry that if we go
- 18 into a different area, that we may be considered --
- even though we don't do anything with marketing,
- 20 marketing off-label. So we stay in the disease
- 21 state and at the time in 2010, it was in chronic
- pain and cancer pain.
- Q. Okay. And how does that bring about CARES
- 24 Alliance?
- MR. DAVISON: Object to form.

1

Page 26

- 1 A. So CARES Alliance was an -- was a -- the
- ² idea -- and I can only give you what I was told when
- ³ I first started the company. So I don't have a lot
- 4 of detail to that, but Art Morelli and Lisa Saki
- 5 started CARES Alliance and so it was -- the goal was
- 6 to be a coalition to collaborate and act responsibly
- 7 to ensure safety, exactly what it was.
- Q. Okay. And what does acting responsibly mean
- 9 in the context of the CARES Alliance?
- MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 11 A. I think the same thing that we just
- 12 discussed, making sure that people are educated
- about the side effects of drugs, about the -- about
- 14 proper use, proper disposal, proper management of
- proper use, proper disposar, proper management o
- 15 drugs.

21

- Q. Okay. Flip and look at the next two slides
- together. So these two slides describe two
- 18 epidemics. The epidemic of pain and the epidemic of
- 19 prescription drug abuse.
- What's the definition of epidemic?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 22 A. So epidemic is something that involves the
- 23 public health of a large group. At this point, it's
- the United States because this is a -- this was a
- problem that was going across in different regions,
 - Page 27

21

- 1 a little more than others.
- Q. And which epidemic are you speaking about?
- 3 A. Both, actually. Yeah, they are both public
- 4 health issues that we -- unfortunately one affects
- 5 the other.
- 6 Q. And which of these epidemics concerns you
- 7 more?
- 8 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 9 A. Oh, my goodness, as a nurse, the epidemic of
- 10 pain is devastating. People who have -- I was a
- pain management nurse for many years at Johns
- 12 Hopkins and saw people debilitated, unable to hold
- 13 their kids, unable to hold their jobs, just crushed
- 14 by chronic pain.
- The epidemic of prescription drug abuse is

equally upsetting. We have people who are dying and

- who are turning to opioids for uses other than pain.
- 18 It's -- both of them are equally disturbing,
- 19 haunting, and of concern to the medical community.
- Q. And personally, if you had a loved one swept
- 21 up in one of these epidemics, would you rather that
- loved one be experiencing chronic pain or
- 23 prescription drug abuse?
- MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- A. I can't answer that.

- Q. Really?
- 2 MR. DAVISON: Objection.
- ³ Q. Truthfully?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection.
 - A. Truthfully? No, because have you ever had
- 6 pain? Do you -- I don't know if you've had pain.
 - The kind of pain that's debilitating.
- Luckily, we're blessed that nobody has pain, other
- than, I think, one of my brothers has chronic back
- pain, but I think both of them can be debilitating,
- life ending. People commit suicide due to pain, so
- 13 I'm not quite sure. Maybe you can explain to me why
- 14 you seem to be leading me, I'm not quite sure where
- that's coming from, because I've worked in pain
- management for 13 years and that's -- you don't get
- 17 to work in pain without looking at prescription drug
- abuse, so I've seen both issues in the course of my
- treatment, I would not want -- I would not wish
- 20 either one of them on my worst enemy.
 - Q. If you keep going through the slide, there's
- 22 a graph showing national rates of opioid overdose
- 23 deaths, treatment, admissions and sales from 1999 to
- 24 2010. The graph shows all three steadily climbing
- throughout that decade; is that correct?

Page 29

- ¹ A. Yes.
- Q. And if the graph were to continue to the
- present year, we would see the line for opioid
- 4 overdose death rates continuing to climb; is that
- 5 correct?

12

23

- MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- A. You can't make that statement. I don't know
- what the data is. I don't know if the data supports
- ⁹ your statement.
- Q. You personally are not familiar with the
- 11 overdose death rate annually?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection.
- A. Not any longer. I haven't done pain
 - medicine, I haven't done advocacy and pain since
- 2015, so it's been three years since I've actually
- 2013, 50 its oven three years since i ve actually
 - worked in the area of pain medicine. I'm in the
- other therapeutic areas of our business.
- Q. Your current position at Mallinckrodt is ingovernment affairs and advocacy?
- 20 A. It is.
- Q. And you would agree that there is a national opioid epidemic currently?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection.
- A. I would agree that there is definitely a
 - problem with opioid.

Page 30

- Q. You work for a company that manufactures 2 opioids?
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. In the area of government affairs and
- advocacy, but you're not aware of the opioid
- overdose death rate?
- 7 A. I am not.
- 8 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 9 A. No longer, I am not.
- 10 Q. When is the last year for which you were 11 aware of it?
- 12 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 13 A. It would probably be about 2014, 2015, but I
- 14 don't remember what it was. I might -- I am now in
- 10 other therapeutic areas other than pain, so my
- areas of focus now are off of this and that's my
- colleague Kevin Webb's area. So we take this very
- 18 response -- very -- we haven't stepped away from it.
- 19 We have just changed with who would be focused on
- it, and it's solely Kevin's area now for advocacy.
- 21 Q. Do you ever read news articles about the
- 22 opioid epidemic?
- 23 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 24 A. I do but I can't quote rates.
 - Q. So you think it may be that the death rate

- 1 the trends are that there is increased opioid abuse.
- I mean -- I'm sorry. That there is continued opioid
- abuse. I'm not sure how much it's increased or
- changed from these numbers but I can also tell you
- that there is a huge trend in patients with pain
- that are not getting treatment, none, people that
- are going to the pharmacist with cancer, with other
- sorts of pain who are unable to get their pain
- treatment because of this hypervigilance on overdose 10 death.

11 I think both of them are equally important.

- 12 I think we absolutely need to do something about
 - both of them, but I do know that there is increased
- problems on both parts. So -- but I believe now
- it's not as -- from what I'm reading and this is,
- once again -- you know, I really, probably, should
- not answer that because, it's really just my
- thoughts, but heroin is now also a growing
- 19 addiction, growing and so this is a very bad
- situation for this country and for people who are in
- 21 pain and for people who are addicted.
- 22 Q. So you just described as equally important,
- 23 the undertreatment of pain and opioid overdose
 - deaths. Is that correct?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.

Page 31

25

- has plateaued since 2010?
- 2 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.
- 3 A. I can't answer that. I don't know what the
- numbers are. If you want to share with me another
- graph, I'd be happy to speak to what is actually
- happening, but I don't think that's -- I don't want
- to speak, it could plateau, it could fall a little
- 8 bit, increase a little bit, it could be -- I don't
- 9

25

- 10 Q. I just want to make sure that testimony is
- 11 clear that in your position in Mallinckrodt, you
- 12 don't know what's happened to overdose death rates
- since 2010, you think they may have fallen, they may
- 14 have plateaued, you don't know?
- 15 MR. DAVISON: Objection.
- 16 A. For the record, I do not know the actual
- 17 statistics. I do know it's still a national problem
- but I do not know the statistics, you're absolutely
- right. I don't know them. I apologize, I don't
- 20 stay on top of every statistics having to do with
- 21 it.
- 22 Q. Do you stay on top of trends?
- 23 A. I -- if it's in my area of working. I mean
- 24 I stay -- I'm still -- still connected to many
- people in the pain management, and I would say that

A. So these are two separate issues, but they

Page 33

- are both very concerning. They are not -- one is
- not meant to be put in front of the other. One is
- not meant to be pushed out and less important. Both
- of these affect our families, our coworkers, our
- friends, so it's -- it's a -- I don't have the
- answers.
- Q. Okay. I'm just asking about your testimony.
- You said, "I think both of them are equally
- 10 important."
- 11 A. I do think they are both equally important.
- 12 MR. DAVISON: Objection.
 - Q. Okay.

13

22

23

- A. But they are separate. One does not -- you
- don't look at one and say, we need to focus on this
- and ignore that one. Unfortunate -- and we have
- 17 healthcare people looking at both of these issues.
- 18 Q. And given that you are equating the two,
- 19 personally, would you rather have a loved one waking
- 20 up in pain every day or going to sleep and never
- 21 waking up?
 - MR. DAVISON: Objection to form, asked and answered.
- 2.4 A. I think I've already answered that one for
 - you. I don't want anyone to die, but I also don't

Page 34

want someone committing suicide because they can't

2 get out of pain, and that has happened.

3 Q. Are opioids the only solution for chronic 4 pain?

MR. DAVISON: Objection.

6 A. Oh, absolutely not. Absolutely not. They

are one of multi -- they are one of a multifactorial

equation in terms of treating pain. They are just a

9 small piece of it, actually, a very small piece of

10 it.

5

11 Q. Okay. Going a couple slides ahead, the

12 heading CARES Alliance here it says "the CARES

Alliance aims to improve pain management outcomes

14 through education and awareness campaigns that are

15 innovative and science based? "

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What does that mean, science based?

18 A. So it's based in research. So we did a

19 couple of programs that, with the -- one was with

the American Academy of Family Practice where they 20

21 actually took the -- took some of the forms that

were on CARES Alliance, some of the risk management 22

forms, and then they used them in clinics to

identify which patient would be at risk for

addiction, so that they could be appropriately

1 MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.

2 A. So for drugs like opioids, which can

cause -- can -- can be misused, you want to make

sure that people -- you have to -- there's all sorts

of science based guidelines. You want to look at

people who have had a history of addiction, history

of misuse, tobacco use is a -- is one of those risk

factors, how -- family support, how you -- and I

apologize. I don't remember all of them but those

are some of the ones that you would do, but opioids

are not for everyone. Right? That's the nuance of

pain management. But they are used -- for some

people they can make the difference between going to

work, not going to work, taking care of their kids,

not participating. And so we've gotten better

and -- in developing tools to help identify those

patients that may fall into risks with addiction.

It's not a perfect situation but I think we're -- I

19 think that the medical community is doing better and

20 better.

21 Q. Okay. And you just described the risk

information, in terms of balancing risks and

benefits. What sort of information would you need

to -- on the benefits side, when you are evaluating

a medication?

Page 35

1

managed with other therapies than opioids, or they

could be watched closer, or whatever it would be

3 that the physician would feel like was important.

4 So that is evidence -- what we call -- it's actually that's a poor terminology. It really is

6 evidence based --

7

8

Q. Evidence based?

A. --is the word that we used. And that means

it's research done in a protocol, done strict -- you

10 know, with strict guidelines and it's not just

11 somebody's opinion.

12 Q. Okay. And who is the audience for the CARES

13 Alliance education and awareness campaigns?

A. Patients, the public, caregivers, healthcare

15 providers, all stakeholders involved in pain. 16 Q. The next bullet point on the slide says:

17 "Our goal is to help healthcare professionals and

people with pain work together to better assess the

19 risks and benefits of pain medications so more

20 people living in pain can find the relief they

21 need."

22

You mentioned having a background as an RN

in practice. What -- given your experience as an

RN, what would you say you need to assess the risks

and benefits of medications?

MR. DAVISON: Objection to form.

A. How does it -- does it -- does it actually

help you do something, you know. When people would

Page 37

come into our pain clinic and we would up their dose

of any drug, my question was what did you do to --

this -- since we've last met with you, and if they

would say, well, nothing, my pain is still 10 out of

10, I would say to them, well, then maybe these

drugs are not working for you. You know, it's all

10 about when you are looking at benefit you want

reduction in pain, but you also want -- you want

someone to bring function back, right? You want

people to be able to be participants in their lives.

They may not be able to return to work due to

injuries beyond -- because of the extent of their

injuries, but can they watch their kids, can they

17 get up, take care of the house. Can you -- you

don't want people sitting on the couch all day no

19 matter what you are giving them because then you are

20 really not helping.

21 Q. What about before a person starts to take

medication, you're talking about improvements in

23 function after taking a medication, but would you

also want to weigh the risks and benefits before

someone starts taking the medication at all?